Case 3693

Cryptodacus Hendel, 1914 (Insecta: Diptera: TEPHRITIDAE): proposed suppression of Cryptodacus Gundlach, 1862 (Reptilia, Serpentes, COLUBRIDAE)

Allen L. Norrbom

Systematic Entomology Laboratory, USDA, ARS, clo Smithsonian Institution, P.O. Box 37012, MRC 168, Washington, DC 20013–7012, U.S.A. (e-mail: allen.norrbom@ars.usda.gov)

Roy McDiarmid

USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, National Museum of Natural History, P.O. Box 37012, MRC 111, Washington, DC 20013–7012, U.S.A. (e-mail: mcdiarmidr@si.edu)

Xiao-Lin Chen

Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 1 Beichen West Road, Chaoyang District, Beijing 100101, China (e-mail: xlchen@ioz.ac.cn)

K.J. David

Division of Insect Systematics, ICAR-National Bureau of Agricultural Insect Resources, P.B. No. 2491, H A Farm Post, Bellary Road, Hebbal, Bangalore 560024, Karnataka, India (e-mail: davidento@gmail.com)

Marc De Meyer

Royal Museum for Central Africa, Leuvensesteenweg 13, B-3080 Tervuren, Belgium (e-mail: marc.de.meyer@africamuseum.be)

Amnon Freidberg

Department of Zoology, The George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, 69978 Israel (e-mail: afdipter@post.tau.ac.il)

204

Ho-Yeon Han

Division of Biological Science and Technology, Yonsei University, 1 Yonseidae-gil, Wonju-si, Gangwon-do 220–710, Korea (e-mail: hyhan@yonsei.ac.kr)

David Hancock

8/3 McPherson Close, Edge Hill, Cairns, Qld 4870, Australia (e-mail: davidhancock50@bigpond.com)

Gary J. Steck

Division of Plant Industry, Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, 1911 SW 34th St., Gainesville, Florida 32608, U.S.A. (e-mail: Gary.Steck@FreshFromFlorida.com)

F. Christian Thompson

Smithsonian Institution (adjunct), 278 Palm Island Way, Ponte Vedra, FL 32081, U.S.A. (e-mail: ThompsonF@si.edu)

Ian M. White

Buxton, United Kingdom (e-mail: white.dacus@btinternet.com)

Roberto A. Zucchi

Escola Superior de Agricultura Luiz de Queiroz, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 9, 13418–900, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil (e-mail: razucchi@usp.br)

Abstract. The purpose of this application, under Article 23.9.3, is to conserve current usage of the well-established genus-group name *Cryptodacus* Hendel, 1914 for a genus of Neotropical fruit flies by suppression of the earlier, unused name *Cryptodacus* Gundlach, 1862, currently a junior synonym of *Arrhyton* Günther, 1858, a genus of snakes, under the plenary power of the Commission, in the interest of nomenclatural stability. *Cryptodacus* Gundlach has not been used as a valid name since 1883, whereas *Cryptodacus* Hendel has been used in a significant body of literature relating to fruit fly systematics, morphology and phylogeny and is the currently used name in various name and molecular databases.

Keywords. Nomenclature; taxonomy; Insecta; Diptera; TEPHRITIDAE; Cryptodacus; Cryptodacus; Reptilia; Serpentes; Neotropical fruit flies; snakes.

1. Cryptodacus Gundlach, 1862 (p. 1002) was proposed as a genus of snakes (Reptilia, Serpentes) with C. vittatus Gundlach (currently Arrhyton vittatum (Gundlach) as type species by monotypy. It was last used as a valid name by Bocourt (1883) and has been considered a junior subjective synonym of Arrhyton Günther, 1858 (type species A. taeniatum Günther) since Boulenger (1894, p. 251) in his Catalogue of the Snakes in the British Museum listed it in the synonymy of Arrhyton, where it has remained (Wallach et al., 2014). Arrhyton is the only genus in the subtribe ARRHYTONINA Hedges & Vidal (Tribe ALSOPHIINI), and is a well-defined monophyletic group of eight species restricted to Cuba. It belongs to a group whose relationships have been analyzed recently (Hedges & Garrido, 1992; Hedges et al., 2009). 2. Cryptodacus Hendel, 1914 (p. 84) was proposed as a genus of fruit flies (Insecta, Diptera, TEPHRITIDAE) with C. obliquus Hendel as type species by original designation. It is preoccupied by Cryptodacus Gundlach, 1861.

3. Lezca Foote, 1978 (p. 27), proposed with L. tau Foote as type species by original designation, is a junior subjective synonym of Cryptodacus Hendel (Norrborn, 1994).

4. Koçak in Koçak & Kemal (2009, p. 11) proposed *Muhabbetiella* as a replacement name for *Cryptodacus* Hendel, 1914, although this was unnecessary because *Lezca* Foote would be the valid name if *Cryptodacus* Hendel is not considered valid (Article 23.3.5 of the Code).

5. *Cryptodacus* Hendel currently includes 10 valid species occurring from Mexico to Bolivia and southern Brazil (Norrbom, 1994, 2004; Norrbom & Korytkowski, 2008): *Cryptodacus lopezi* Norrbom, 1994 (p. 40), from Guatemala; *C. obliquus* Hendel, 1914 (p. 84) from Panama, Peru and Bolivia; *C. ornatus* Norrbom, 1994 (p. 41) from Colombia, Ecuador and Brazil (Amazonas); *C. parkeri* Norrbom, 1994 (p. 43) from Costa Rica; *C. quirozi* Norrbom, 1994 (p. 44) from Mexico (Veracruz); *C. silvai* Lima, 1947 (p. 153) from Brazil (Rio Grande do Sul); *C. tau* (Foote, 1978, p. 27) from Mexico, Guatemala, Costa Rica and Panama; *C. tigreroi* Norrbom, 1994 (p. 46) from Ecuador; *C. trinotatus* Norrbom & Korytkowski, 2008 (p. 32) from Panama; and *C. univittatus* Norrbom & Korytkowski, 2008 (p. 36) from Panama.

6. Cryptodacus Hendel has been the accepted name for a genus of fruit flies for more than 100 years. It is the accepted name in the latest generic revision and species descriptions (Norrbom, 1994; Norrbom & Korytkowski, 2008), in phylogenetic studies of the genus (Norrbom, 1994) and various higher taxa within the economically important family TEPHRITIDAE (Norrborn, 1990, 1997; McPheron & Han, 1997; Han & McPheron, 1999; Smith & Bush, 1999; Han & Ro, 2009), in regional generic keys and manuals (e.g. Foote, 1980; Norrbom, 2010) that are the primary references for the identification of fruit flies in the Neotropical Region, in regional catalogs (Aczél, 1950; Foote, 1967), and in the worldwide catalog and database of Norrbom et al. (1999) and Norrbom (2004), which provided a comprehensive listing of the fruit flies (Insecta, Diptera, TEPHRITIDAE) as part of the Biosystematic Database of World Diptera (now Systema Dipterorum [Pape & Thompson, 2013]). This work compiled all available names of TEPHRITIDAE to provide a complete baseline of existing knowledge and to promote nomenclatural stability. In addition to publications, Cryptodacus Hendel is the accepted name in the following critical scientific databases and data infrastructures: Systema Dipterorum (http://www.diptera.org), the preeminent database for fly names; The Integrated Taxonomic Information System (ITIS; http://www.itis.gov/); BOLD (DNA barcoding database; http://www.barcodinglife.com), which includes 3 species of Cryptodacus; and Encyclopedia of Life (EOL; http://eol.org/). Identified specimens are present in at least 15 Museum and University collections.

7. Adoption of Lezca as the valid name in place of Cryptodacus Hendel would cause considerable nomenclatural confusion in the TEPHRITIDAE because Cryptodacus is the name used overwhelmingly for this taxon in the literature (see above), including the primary reference for the identification of fruit flies in the Neotropical Region (Norrbom, 2010), in online databases, and in entomological collections. Transfer of the species to Lezca would result in ten new or in one case restored combinations, this in addition to the ten unnecessary new combinations in Muhabbetiella proposed by Koçak & Kemal (2009). This further confusion could be avoided by the suppression of Cryptodacus Gundlach, 1862. Because the latter name is a junior synonym and has not been used as a valid name in over 130 years, this action would have minimal effect on the nomenclature of Serpentes, whereas it would promote stability of nomenclature within TEPHRITIDAE. Only one of the requirements for reversal of precedence (Article 23.9) is met by this case. Cryptodacus Gundlach has not been used as a valid name since 1899, fulfilling the first requirement (Article 23.9.1.1). Although Cryptodacus Hendel has been used as a valid name by more than 10 authors in at least 26 publications (a submitted list of usage of the name Cryptodacus Hendel, 1914 is kept by the Commission Secretariat), it has been used in 22 publications in the last 50 years (since 1965), and thus the second requirement (Article 23.9.1.2) is not fulfilled.

8. The International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature is accordingly asked:

- to use its plenary power to suppress the generic name *Cryptodacus* Gundlach, 1862; for the purposes of both the Principle of Priority and the Principle of Homonymy;
- (2) to place on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology the name *Cryptodacus* Hendel, 1914 (gender: masculine), type species by original designation *Cryptodacus obliquus* Hendel, 1914;
- (3) to place on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Generic Names in Zoology the name *Cryptodacus* Gundlach, 1862, as suppressed in (1) above.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank Neal Evenhuis and Thomas Pape for their comments on previous drafts of the manuscript. USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

References

- Aczél, M.L. 1950. Catalogo de la familia 'Trypetidae' (Dipt. Acalypt.) de la región neotropical. Acta Zoologica Lilloana (1949), 7: 177–328.
- Bocourt, M.-F. 1883. Études sur les Reptiles. Pp. 529–592 in: Mission Scientifique au Mexique et dans l'Amérique Centrale. Recherches zoologiques. vol. 9. Imprimerie Nationale Livres, Paris.
- Boulenger, G.A. 1894. Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum (Natural History), vol. 2, containing the conclusion of the Colubridae aglyphae. xii, 382 pp. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Foote, R.H. 1967. Family Tephritidae (Trypetidae, Trupaneidae). 91 pp. *In* Papavero, N. (Ed.), *A catalogue of the Diptera of the Americas south of the United States*, Fascicle 57. Departamento de Zoologia, Secretaria da Agricultura, São Paulo.
- Foote, R.H. 1978. New genera and species of neotropical Tephritidae (Diptera). Journal of the Washington Academy of Science, 68: 27–32.
- Foote, R.H. 1980. Fruit fly genera south of the United States (Diptera: Tephritidae). United States Department of Agriculture Technical Bulletin, 1600: i-iv, 1–79.
- Gundlach, [J.] 1862. In W. Peters. Über zwei neue von Hrn. Dr. Gundlach auf Cuba entdeckte Schlangen, Tropidonotus cubanus und Cryptodacus vittatus. Monatsberichte der Königlichen Preussische Akademie des Wissenschaften zu Berlin, 1861: 1001–1004.

- Günther, A. 1858. Catalogue of colubrine snakes in the collection of the British Museum. xvi, 281 pp. British Museum (Natural History), London.
- Hedges, S.B., Couloux, A. & Vidal, N. 2009. Molecular phylogeny, classification, and biogeography of West Indian racer snakes of the Tribe Alsophiini (Squamata, Dipsadidae, Xenodontinae). Zootaxa, 2067: 1–28.
- Hedges, S.B. & Garrido, O.H. 1992. Cuban snakes of the genus Arrhyton: two new species and a reconsideration of A. redimitum Cope. Herpetologica, 48: 168–177.
- Hendel, F.G. 1914. Die Gattungen der Bohrfliegen. (Analytische Übersicht aller bisher bekannten Gattungen der Tephritinae). Wiener Entomologische Zeitung, 33: 73–98.
- Koçak, A.Ö. & Kemal, M. 2009. Two replacement names in the family Tephritidae (Diptera, Tephritoidea). *Miscellaneous Papers Centre for Entomological Studies Ankara*, 147–148: 10–11.
- Norrbom, A.L. 1990. Notes on Zonosemata Benjamin (Diptera: Tephritidae) and the status of Cryptodacus scutellatus Hendel (= Z. ica Steyskal syn. n.). Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien, 91: 53–55.

- Norrbom, A.L. 1994. New species and phylogenetic analysis of *Cryptodacus*, *Haywardina*, and *Rhagoletotrypeta* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Insecta Mundi*, **8**: 37–65.
- Norrbom, A.L. 1997. The genus *Carpomya* Costa (Diptera: Tephritidae): new synonymy, description of first American species, and phylogenetic analysis. *Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington*, **99**: 338–347.
- Norrbom, A.L. 2004. Updates to Biosystematic Database of World Diptera for Tephritidae through 1999. Diptera Data Dissemination Disk (CD-ROM) 2.
- Norrbom, A.L. 2010. Tephritidae (fruit flies, moscas de frutas). Pp. 909–954. In Brown, B.V., Borkent, A., Cumming, J.M., Wood, D.M., Woodley, N.E. & Zumbado, M.A. (Eds.), Manual of Central American Diptera, vol. 2. i-xvi, 715–1442 pp. NRC Research Press, Ottawa.
- Norrbom, A.L. & Korytkowski, C.A. 2008. New Cryptodacus (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Panama, with a key to the known species. Zootaxa, 1773: 31–43.
- Norrbom, A.L., Carroll, L.E., Thompson, F.C., White, I.M. & Freidberg, A. 1999. Systematic database of names. Pp. 65–251 *in* Thompson, F.C. (Ed.), Fruit fly expert identification system and systematic information database. *Myia*, (1998) 9: vii, 524 pp. & Diptera Data Dissemination Disk (CD-ROM) (1998) 1.
- Pape, T. & Thompson, F.C. (Eds.). 2013. Systema Dipterorum, Version 1.5. http://www.diptera.org/
- Wallach, V., Williams K.L. & Boundy, J. 2014. Snakes of the World: A Catalogue of Living and Extinct Species. 1237 pp. CRC Press, Boca Raton.

Acknowledgement of receipt of this application was published in BZN 72: 179.

Comments on this case are invited for publication (subject to editing) in the *Bulletin*; they should be sent to I.C.Z.N., Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, U.K. (e-mail: iczn@nhm.ac.uk).